

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—My friend must be fully aware of the report of the Mascarenhas Committee. That resolution has been dealt with at great length by the committee. That was placed before the old Assembly and copies were distributed to the members of the old Assembly. In the report they have dealt with the practical side of that question and have come to the conclusion that it would be very difficult to implement that particular resolution in the context of things prevailing then.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮಾರಿಅಪ್ಪಾ.—ಪಾತ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯ ದೇಶಗೆ ಇಂದಿಂದ ಕೆಂಪು ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ವಾಸ್ತವಿಕ ಕೌಡಾತ್ಮಕರೀಯೋ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಮೈಸೂರು ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊರಗಡೆಯಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವರಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ವಾಸ್ತವಿಕ ಕೌಟಿಂಗ್‌ದಾರೆ. ಇಲ್ಲಿಯ ನೌಕರರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಷ್ಟಕಡು ತ್ತುದ್ವಾರೆಂಬುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮಾರಿಅಪ್ಪಾ.—ಎಲ್ಲರನ್ನೂ ಒಂದೇ ದ್ವಿಪ್ರಯಾಗಿ ನೌಕರಿಗೆ ಅದರೆ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಕ ಕುರುಕ್ಕೆ ದೋಷ. ನಾವು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಶೇಷ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದ್ದಿಂದ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪಕಾಲ ಕಷ್ಟ ಅನುಭವಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮಾರಿಅಪ್ಪಾ.—ಇಂಟಿಗ್ರೆಷನ್ ಅದೆ ಮೇಲೆ 150 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಂಬಳ ತಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ನೌಕರನ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ 50 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಂಬಳ ತಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವರ್ಣನ್ ಯಾವ ಅತ್ಯ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯಿಂದ ಆಶಾ ಕಾಂಕ್ಷೆ ಇನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಬೇಕು? ಇಂಟಿಗ್ರೆಷನ್ ಅದಮೇಲೆ ಅದನ್ನು ತಾವು ಯೋಜನೆ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮಾರಿಅಪ್ಪಾ.—ಇಂಟಿಗ್ರೆಷನ್ ಘಳ ಅದು. ನಾವು ಅನುಭವಿಸಬೇಕು. ಎಷ್ಟು ಸುಲಭವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ಪನ್ನಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Arrests during Disturbances at Belgaum.

Q.—840. Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum I).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of persons that were taken into custody by the police for rioting and causing disturbances at Belgaum City, Belgaum Taluka and Khanapur Taluka of Belgaum District on 17th of January 1956 and thereafter for the cause of Samyukta Maharashtra;

(b) the number of criminal cases that were filed against those persons by the police and against how many persons;

(c) the number of convictions that were upheld by the appellate courts and in how many cases the convictions were set aside;

(d) whether there are any cases still pending; if so, the number that are pending and against how many persons;

(e) whether any cases are withdrawn; if so, how many?

A.—Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—

(a) 233.

(b) 26 cases were sent up against 182 persons.

(c) (i) Convictions upheld in appeal	... 5
--------------------------------------	-------

(ii) Convictions set aside in appeal	... 3
--------------------------------------	-------

(d) Nine cases against 68 persons are still pending.

(e) Nil.

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Sir, I would like to make a small correction. In answer to clause (d) it should be eight cases instead of nine cases.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—So far as I know, some cases have already been withdrawn by the Government. When that is the case, how is it that no cases are withdrawn?

***Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—Seven cases have been subsequently withdrawn and one case is under the consideration of the Government *vis-a-vis* the question of withdrawal.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—What are the reasons for the withdrawal of those seven cases?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—It was considered inexpedient in the interest of State to pursue those cases.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Was it not considered when the investigation was made before filing the charge sheet?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—The cases were registered, investigation was taken up and cases were filed. Later on, on further consideration it was found by the Government that it was inexpedient in the interest of the State to pursue those cases.

Unauthorised cultivation of forest land by the Peasants of Bilidale village.

Q.—905. Sri M. LINGE GOWDA (Kanakapura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it has come to their notice that the ryots of Bilidale Village, Kodihalli Hobli, Kanakapura Taluk, were cultivating forest lands without permission;

(b) whether action has been taken to evict them and to prohibit unauthorised cultivation of forest lands;

(c) whether it is a fact that forest and revenue surveyors after jointly surveying that area have sent a report stating that the land situated in that place belonged to the Forest Department ?

A.—Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(a) Yes; in Ramadevarabetta Extension State Forest.

(b) Yes.

(c) Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಗಳೂ “ಹೌದು” ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಲ್ಲಿ (ಎ) ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಗೂ ಮತ್ತು (ಸಿ) ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಗೂ ‘ಹೌದು’ ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವರಿಗಲ್ಲ ಯಾವುದು ನರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎನ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಮೇಲೆ ಅಜಮಿನಾನ್ನು ಅಳತೆ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಆ ಪಾಟಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಸಿರಿಯೇಕೆಂದು ನೋಡಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆಗ ಅವರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಆಗ ಆ ಜಮಿನಾನ್ನು ಅವರ ಸಮಾಜದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಳತೆ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ರೆಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಆ ಜಮಿನಾಲ್ಲಾ ನಕಾರ ರಕ್ಕೆ ನೇರಿದ್ದಿಂದು ಹೇಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದು ನಿಷಫೇ!

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎನ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಅಭಿಮಾನಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ 75 ವರಕೆ 32ಗುಂಟೆ ಭಾಂಬಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ನಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ನೇರಿದ್ದಿಂದು ತಿಳಿದು ಬಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಬಾಕಿ ಭಾಂಬಿಯೇಲ್ಲ!

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎನ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ರೈತರ ಸ್ವಂತ ಭಾಂಬಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಅವರೆಗೂ ಆ ರೈತರೆ ಬಹಳ ಕಮ್ಮೆಪಟ್ಟು ಆ ಜಮಿನಾನ್ನು ವ್ಯವಸಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕುದುರಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ಭಾಂಬಿಯನ್ನು ಅದು ಅರಣ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ನೇರಿದ್ದಾಗೂ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಕೊಂಡರಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎನ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಅ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಪರಿಧಿರಲ್ಲ. ಆಮೇಲೆ ನೋಡೋಣ. ಆದರೆ ಆಗಾಗೆಲ್ಲ ಅವರು ಆ ಭಾಂಬಿ ನಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ನೇರಿದ್ದಾಗಿ ಕಂಡು ಬಂದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಂದಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಒಪ್ಪಂದದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರು ನಡೆದಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿ—ಬಾಕಿ ಏಕಾರ ಅನಂತರ ನೋಡೋಣ.

Minor ports in the State and facilities to Coondapur Port.

Q.—1005. Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY (Baindur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the names of the Minor Ports proposed to be developed in the State;

(b) the facilities proposed to be provided for the development of those ports during the Second Five-Year Plan;

(c) whether they have received any representation from Taluk Merchants Association, Coondapur, for providing certain facilities to Coondapur Port;

(d) if so, the action taken in the matter?

A.—Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA (Minister for Labour and Legal Affairs).—

(a) Development works in respect of the following Ports are included in the Second Five-Year Plan.

1. Mangalore.
2. Malpe.
3. Hangarghatta.
4. Bhatkal.
5. Honavar.
6. Kumta.
7. Tadri.
8. Karwar.

(b) Vide statement appended.

(c) Yes.

(d) The State Port Officer is requested to examine the proposals contained in the representation and furnish a report for consideration of Government.

STATEMENT

Development works in respect of Ports in Mysore State included in the Second Five-Year Plan

	Estimated cost
	Rs.
Mangalore—	
1 Improving Equipments of workshops	30,000
2 Shifting of Light House	50,000
3 Construction of two dumb steel barges	45,000
4 Providing one Mobile Diesel Crane	40,000
5 Cargo shed with Trolleys	40,000
6 Hand Crane for Dry Dock	15,000
7 Re alignment of North Wharf wall	2,50,000
8 Construction of quarters for subordinate staff	50,000
9 Amenities to passengers	10,000
	<hr/>
Total	5,30,000
Malpe—	
1 Provision of a groyne on the Western side of Channel	10,000
2 Reconstruction of culvert across road	20,000
3 Provision of an Aga light	60,000
4 Preliminary investigation for the development of Malpe into a medium sized or major Port	40,000
5 Providing amenities to passengers	10,000
	<hr/>
Total	1,40,000
Hangarkatta—	
1 Provision of a jetty on Southern end of Wharf	20,000
2 General—Quarters for out Port Staff	69,000
	<hr/>
Total	89,000
Bhatkal—	
1 Passenger shed	9,265
2 Port hand lighted buoy	30,000
3 Lighted buoy on Bhatkal outer rock	25,000
4 Guiding Light	10,000
	<hr/>
Total	74,265
Honavar—	
1 Improvement to wharf wall and dredging	50,000
2 One light beacon	10,000
	<hr/>
Total	60,000
Kumta—	
1 Improvement to passenger landing facilities and dredging along side of custom office wharf	1,00,000
2 Improving Kumta light	20,000
3 Provision of a beacon light	9,845
	<hr/>
Total	1,29,845

(SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA)

		Estimated cost Rs.
<i>Tadri—</i>		
1	Transit shed	... 20,000
		Total ... 20,000
<i>Karwar—</i>		
1	Provision for Two lighted buoys	... 50,000
2	Improvements to Koney light	... 7,500
3	Transit Shed	... 20,000
		Total ... 77,500

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.—As Coondapur port is next in importance to Malpe, will Government take steps to develop Coondapur port with necessary facilities along with other ports proposed to be developed during the Second Five-Year Plan period?

***Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.**—With regard to relative importance of the ports, it is a matter of opinion. I can assure the House and Hon'ble Members that the development of ports is going on due lines and whenever funds are available.

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.—Is the Government getting any financial aid from the Central Government to develop these ports?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Yes.

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.—What is the expected financial assistance during the Second Five-Year Plan period?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Details are printed in the answers and the extent of the loan as at present is about Rs. 32,000.

Sri GAJANAN PANDIT.—With the development of minor ports, are the Government contemplating to have port officers?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Yes.

Sri GAJANAN PANDIT.—How many port officers are there at present?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—At present, there is a State port officer in charge of all the ports in South Kanara District and with regard to ports in North Kanara there is no port officer as such in charge.

Sri GAJANAN PANDIT.—Is the Government aware that there is no port officer in charge of Mangalore Port Trust?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—It is under a Trust. The State port officer was dissociated at the request of the members of the port trust.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is there a committee constituted for the development of ports and have they given a report in this behalf?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Not by the State Government.

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.—The Hon'ble Minister stated that the financial assistance is to the extent of Rs. 32,000. May I know whether it is a correct amount or by mistake it is mentioned so?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Rs. 32,000 is by way of loan.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is there any committee constituted by the Central Government?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—I am not aware. But there is a Harbour Board and some committees have been constituted for investigating the various ports. At the recent meeting of Harbour Board held at Kandla a committee was set up for relieving congestion in major ports and to find out the ways in which ports could be developed.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Will the report of the committee be placed on the Table of this House?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—I do not know which Committee the Hon'ble Member has in his mind?

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—The report of the Committee constituted by the Central Government.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—As I said, the Committee which has been constituted is attending to its work and no report has been submitted. Hence that report cannot be expected to be placed before the House.

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.—What is the expected financial assistance in the shape of loans, grants, etc.?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—In addition to what has already been printed, if the Hon'ble Member wants he may give notice and I shall gather the information and furnish.

Sri B. JACAJEEVANDAS SHETTY.—Will the Government arrange to provide pilot boats in the West Coast?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—All those things will be duly considered.

Sri V. SRINIVASA SHETTY.—May I know who recommended improvements for the eight ports mentioned here?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—By two different Governments; with regard to South Kanara by the Madras Government and with regard to North Kanara by the Bombay Government.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is the report available?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Calling it a report will not be correct nomenclature by a proper understanding of the situation because certain recommendations were made and some provisions are also made in the Second Five-Year Plan. Details are given in the answers furnished.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is representation given to this Government in the Committee constituted by the Centre?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—It is a sub-committee in which there is no representative of the Mysore Government.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is the Government aware that there is one Sri S. J. N. Naidu representing the Mysore Government?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Not on this Committee.

Conversion of Sagar Hospital as General Hospital.

Q.—980. Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN (Thirthahalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the category to which the Sagar (Shimoga District) hospital belongs;

(b) whether the then Chief Minister promised to make it a general hospital;

(c) whether there are complaints that the hospital is ill-equipped?

A.—Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Health).—

(a) Combined Hospital.

(b) No.

(c) No.

Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN.—Is the Government aware that there has been public donation to the extent of one lakh of rupees towards this hospital?

***Sri R. M. PATIL.**—The building is being constructed out of that amount only.

Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN.—Is the Government aware that a virus is prevailing round about this area?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Though it is a different question, I wish to tell the Hon'ble Member that there is R.S.S. virus spreading in that area.

Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN.—What steps this Government have taken to improve the condition of this hospital?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—There will be a laboratory equipped. All necessary arrangements will be made so far as the equipment of this hospital is concerned.

Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN.—Is the Government aware that there is no lady doctor in that hospital for a long time for more than a year?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—It may be on account of the scarcity of lady doctors in the State.

Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN.—Also due to lack of medicines in that hospital?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Not due to lack of medicines.

Sri A. R. BADRI NARAYAN.—Also due to lack of compounders, midwives and other personnel?